

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.

RENZO BARBERI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TAXWISE PROGRESSIVE LLC, a Florida
Limited Liability Company and
CLEARVIEW SHOPS, LLC, a Florida
Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

_____ /

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, RENZO BARBERI (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), through the undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues TAXWISE PROGRESSIVE LLC ("TAXWISE") and CLEARVIEW SHOPS, LLC ("CLEARVIEW") (hereinafter, collectively referred to as "Defendants") for declaratory and injunctive relief; for discrimination based on disability; and for the resultant attorney's fees, expenses, and costs (including, but not limited to, court costs and expert fees), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181 et. seq. ("AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990," or "ADA") and alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court is vested with original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1343 for Plaintiff's claims arising under Title 42 U.S.C. §12181 et. seq., based on the Defendants' violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (hereinafter

referred to as the "ADA"). See also 28 U.S.C. §2201 and §2202.

VENUE

2. The venue of all events giving rise to this lawsuit is located in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(B) and Rule 3.1 of Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, this is the designated court for this suit.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, RENZO BARBERI, is a resident of the State of Florida. At the time of Plaintiff's visit to Taxwise Progressive LLC ("Subject Facility"), Plaintiff suffered from a "qualified disability" under the ADA and required the use of a wheelchair for mobility. Specifically, Plaintiff suffers from paraplegia due to a severed T4 and T5 and is therefore confined to his wheelchair. Plaintiff personally visited Taxwise Progressive LLC but was denied full and equal access, and full and equal enjoyment of the facilities, services, goods, and amenities within Taxwise Progressive LLC, which is the subject of this lawsuit. The Subject Facility is an accountant's office, and Plaintiff wanted to get a consultation but was unable to due to the discriminatory barriers enumerated in Paragraph 15 of this Complaint.

4. In the alternative, Plaintiff, RENZO BARBERI, is an advocate of the rights of similarly situated disabled persons and is a "tester" for the purpose of asserting his civil rights and monitoring, ensuring, and determining whether places of public accommodation are in compliance with the ADA.

5. The Defendants, TAXWISE and CLEARVIEW, are authorized to conduct business and are in fact conducting business within the State of Florida. The Subject Facility is located at 7325 NW 27th Ave Suite 205, Miami Gardens, FL 33056. Upon information and belief, TAXWISE is the lessee and/or operator of the Real Property and therefore held accountable of the violations of the ADA in the Subject Facility which is the matter of this suit. Upon information and belief, CLEARVIEW is the owner and lessor of the Real Property where the Subject Facility is located and therefore held accountable for the violations of the ADA in the Subject Facility which is the matter of this suit.

CLAIMS: VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

6. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges the allegations stated in paragraphs 1 through 5 of this complaint, as are further explained herein.

7. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §12101 et. seq. Commercial enterprises were provided one and a half years from enactment of the statute to implement its requirements. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992, or January 26, 1993 if Defendants had ten (10) or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less. See 42 U.S.C. §12181; 28 C.F.R. §36.508(a).

8. As stated in 42 U.S.C. §12101(a) (1)-(3), (5) and (9), Congress found, among other things, that:

- i. some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disability, and this

number shall increase as the population continues to grow and age;

- ii. historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against disabled individuals continue to be a pervasive social problem, requiring serious attention;
- iii. discrimination against disabled individuals persists in such critical areas as employment, housing, public accommodations, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting and access to public services and public facilities;
- iv. individuals with disabilities continually suffer forms of discrimination, including: outright intentional exclusion; the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers; failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices; exclusionary qualification standards and criteria; segregation, and regulation to lesser services, programs, benefits, or other opportunities; and,
- v. the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which this country is justifiably famous and costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and non-productivity.

9. As stated in 42 U.S.C. §12101(b)(1)(2) and (4), Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:

- i. provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- ii. provide clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and,
- iii. invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced on a daily basis by people with disabilities.

10. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 CFR §36.104, Title III, no individual may be discriminated against on the basis of disability with regards to the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any

person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. Taxwise Progressive LLC is a place of public accommodation by the fact it is an establishment that provides goods/services to the general public, and therefore, must comply with the ADA. The Subject Facility is open to the public, its operations affect commerce, and it is an office of an accountant. See 42 U.S.C. Sec. 12181 (7) and 28 C.F.R. 36.104. Therefore, the Subject Facility is a public accommodation that must comply with the ADA.

11. The Defendants have discriminated and continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others who are similarly situated by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at Taxwise Progressive LLC located at 7325 NW 27th Ave Suite 205, Miami Gardens, FL 33056, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. §12182 and 42 U.S.C. §12101 et. seq.; by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A)(ii); and by failing to remove architectural barriers pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

12. Plaintiff has visited the Subject Facility and has been denied full, safe, and equal access to the facility and therefore suffered an injury in fact.

13. Plaintiff shall suffer a future injury as Plaintiff intends to return and enjoy the goods and/or services at the Subject Facility within the next six months. The Subject Facility is in close proximity to Plaintiff's residence and is in an area frequently travelled by Plaintiff. Furthermore, Plaintiff will also return to monitor compliance with the ADA. However, Plaintiff is precluded

from doing so by the Defendants' failure and refusal to provide people with disabilities with full and equal access to their facility. Therefore, Plaintiff continues to suffer from discrimination and injury due to the architectural barriers, which are in violation of the ADA.

14. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. §12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, promulgated Federal Regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. The ADA Accessibility guidelines (hereinafter referred to as “ADAAG”), 28 C.F.R. Part 36, may cause violators to obtain civil penalties of up to \$55,000 for the first violation and \$110,000 for any subsequent violation.

15. The Defendants are in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12181 et. seq. and 28 C.F.R. 36.302 et. seq. and are discriminating against Plaintiff with the following specific violations which Plaintiff personally encountered and/or has knowledge of:

- a) The customer parking facility for the business does not provide a compliant accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards 502.1
- b) The parking facility in front of and alongside the business has sixty four (64) marked standard spaces and two (2) non-compliant accessible parking spaces. 2010 ADA Standards 208.2
- c) The parking facility does not have the minimum number of compliant accessible parking spaces required. Three (3) compliant accessible parking space with adjacent access aisles is required. 2010 ADA Standards 208.2

- d) The parking facility does not provide directional and informational signage to a compliant accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards 216.5
- e) The accessible parking spaces do not have a compliant access aisle. Each designated accessible parking space must have an adjacent access aisle. Parking access aisles must be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance. Access aisles must be placed adjacent to accessible parking spaces. All spaces must be located on an accessible route that is at least 44 inches wide so that users are not compelled to walk or wheel behind parked vehicles except behind his or her own vehicle. 2010 ADA Standards 502.2, 502.3
- f) Access aisles serving car and van parking spaces must be 60 inches (existing one is 48 inches) wide minimum. 2010 ADA Standards 502.3.1
- g) The access aisle must extend the full length of the parking space it serves. 2010 ADA Standards 502.3.2
- h) The left (west) accessible parking space is not compliant. It is too small. Accessible parking spaces must be at least 12 feet wide. Parking access aisles must be at least 5 feet wide and must be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance. 2010 ADA Standards 502.2
- i) Parking spaces that serve a particular building or facility must be located on the shortest accessible route from parking to an accessible main entrance. Where parking serves more than one accessible entrance, accessible parking spaces must be dispersed and located on the shortest accessible route to the accessible entrances. 2010 ADA Standards 208.3.1

- j) There is currently no existing accessible route to help persons with disabilities enter the facility or safely maneuver from the parking lot. At least one accessible route must be provided within the site from accessible parking spaces and accessible passenger loading zones; public streets and sidewalks; and public transportation stops to the accessible building or facility entrance they serve. 2010 ADA Standards 206.2.1
- k) There is no compliant access aisle attached to an accessible route serving any existing parking space which would allow safe entrance or exit of vehicle for accessible persons requiring mobility devices. 2010 ADA Standards 502.2
- l) There are several non-compliant changes in level (broken and uneven concrete) along the concrete walkway. Vertical changes in level of 1/4 inch high maximum are permitted to be vertical. 2020 ADA Standards 303.2, 403.4
- m) There is currently no existing accessible route to help persons with disabilities enter the facility or safely maneuver through the parking area. At least one accessible route must be provided within the site from accessible parking spaces and accessible passenger loading zones; public streets and sidewalks; and public transportation stops to the accessible building or facility entrance they serve. 2010 ADA Standards 206.2.1
- n) The main customer entrance door has non-compliant panel-type door hardware. Operable parts must be operable with one hand and not require tight grasping, pinching, or twisting of the wrist. 2012 ADA Standards 309.4

16. Upon information and belief there are other current violations of the ADA at Taxwise Progressive LLC which Plaintiff would encounter but for the discriminatory barriers in

paragraph 15 which prevent Plaintiff's access to such additional violations. An inspection can identify all such violations which would be encountered by Plaintiff if the discriminatory barriers in Paragraph 15 did not prevent Plaintiff's access to them. Accordingly, a complete list of violations which are discriminatory to Plaintiff will require an on-site inspection by Plaintiff's representatives pursuant to Rule 34b of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

17. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that removal of the discriminatory barriers and violations is readily achievable and technically feasible. To date, the readily achievable barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.

18. Pursuant to the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12101 et. seq. and 28 C.F.R. §36.304, the Defendants were required to make the establishment a place of public accommodation, accessible to persons with disabilities by January 28, 1992. As of this date, the Defendants have failed to comply with this mandate.

19. Plaintiff has been obligated to retain the undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have its reasonable attorney's fees, costs and expenses paid by the Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12205.

20. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant Plaintiff injunctive relief, including an Order to alter the subject facilities to make them readily accessible

and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, closing the Subject Facility until the requisite modifications are completed, entering an Order directed at maintenance and future compliance, and entering an Order for the Defendants to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures.

COUNT I - DECLARATORY RELIEF

21. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 20 above as though fully set forth verbatim herein.

22. The Defendants have discriminated and continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others who are similarly situated by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. §12182 and 42 U.S.C. §12101 et. seq.; by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A)(ii); and by failing to remove architectural barriers pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

23. By failing to remove the architectural barriers set forth in paragraph 15, by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures to address discriminatory practices, and by failing to ensure compliance with the mandates of the ADA, the Defendants have discriminated and continue to discriminate against Plaintiff by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility.

24. Unless declared that the Subject Facility owned, operated and/or controlled by the Defendants is in violation of the ADA, the Defendants shall continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others who are similarly situated by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants and requests that this Honorable Court declare that the Subject Facility owned, operated, and/or controlled by the Defendants is in violation of Title III of the ADA and award reasonable attorney's fees, all costs (including, but not limited to court costs and expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to Plaintiff.

COUNT II - INJUNCTIVE RELIEF TO REMOVE ARCHITECTURAL BARRIERS

25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 20 above as though fully set forth verbatim herein.

26. By failing to remove the architectural barriers set forth in paragraph 15, the Defendants have discriminated and continue to discriminate against Plaintiff by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility.

27. Unless enjoined by the Court, the Defendants shall continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others who are similarly situated by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment

of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility by failing to remove architectural barriers pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

28. Injunctive relief requiring the Defendants to remove the architectural barriers set forth in paragraph 15 is necessary to make the Subject Facility accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants and requests that this Honorable Court enter an Order requiring the Defendants to alter the Subject Facility and remove architectural barriers to make the Subject Facility accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA, and award reasonable attorney's fees, all costs (including, but not limited to court costs and expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to Plaintiff.

COUNT III - INJUNCTIVE RELIEF TO MODIFY POLICIES, PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES

29. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 20 above as though fully set forth verbatim herein.

30. By failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures to address discriminatory practices and to ensure compliance with the mandates of the ADA, the Defendants have discriminated and continue to discriminate against Plaintiff by denying access

to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility.

31. Unless enjoined by the Court, the Defendants shall continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others who are similarly situated by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

32. Injunctive relief requiring the Defendants to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures to address discriminatory practices is necessary to make the Subject Facility accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants and requests that this Honorable Court enter an Order requiring the Defendants to evaluate, neutralize, and modify their policies, practices, and procedures as are necessary to make the Subject Facility accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA and award reasonable attorney's fees, all costs (including, but not limited to court costs and expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to Plaintiff.

COUNT IV- INJUNCTIVE RELIEF TO MAINTAIN COMPLIANCE

33. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 20 above as though fully set forth verbatim herein.

34. By failing to remove the architectural barriers set forth in paragraph 15, by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures to address discriminatory practices, and by failing to ensure compliance with the mandates of the ADA, the Defendants have discriminated and continue to discriminate against Plaintiff by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility.

35. Even if the Defendants remove the architectural barriers set forth in paragraph 15, and make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures to address discriminatory practices, it is clear that the Defendants will fail to maintain compliance with the ADA by allowing physical alterations to revert back into noncompliance and by failing to enforce any modifications in policies, practices, or procedures.

36. Unless enjoined by the Court, the Defendants shall continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others who are similarly situated by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Subject Facility by failing to maintain compliance with the ADA by allowing physical alterations to

revert back into noncompliance and by failing to enforce any modifications in policies, practices, or procedures.

37. Injunctive relief requiring the Defendants to maintain compliance is necessary to make the Subject Facility accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants and requests that this Honorable Court enter an Order requiring the Defendants to maintain the alterations and modifications required to keep the Subject Facility accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities and in compliance with Title III of the ADA and award reasonable attorney's fees, all costs (including, but not limited to court costs and expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to Plaintiff.

Dated this April 29, 2025.

Respectfully submitted by:

Ronald E. Stern

Ronald E. Stern, Esq.

Florida Bar No. 10089

THE ADVOCACY LAW FIRM, P.A.

1835 E Hallandale Beach Blvd., # 757

Hallandale Beach, Florida 33009

Telephone: (954) 639-7016

Facsimile: (954) 639-7198

E-Mail: ronsternlaw@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, RENZO BARBERI

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.

RENZO BARBERI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TAXWISE PROGRESSIVE LLC, a Florida
Limited Liability Company and
CLEARVIEW SHOPS, LLC, a Florida
Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

_____ /

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on April 29, 2025, I electronically filed the Complaint along with a Summons for each Defendant with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the aforementioned documents are being served on all counsel of record, corporations, or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified via Service of Process by an authorized Process Server, and that all future pleadings, motions and documents will be served either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or via U.S. Mail for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

By: Ronald E. Stern

Ronald E. Stern, Esq.

Florida Bar No.: 10089

THE ADVOCACY LAW FIRM, P.A.

1835 E Hallandale Beach Blvd., # 757

Hallandale Beach, Florida 33009

Telephone: (954) 639-7016

Facsimile: (954) 639-7198

E-Mail: ronsternlaw@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, RENZO BARBERI

SERVICE LIST:

RENZO BARBERI, Plaintiff, vs. TAXWISE PROGRESSIVE LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company and CLEARVIEW SHOPS, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company

United States District Court Southern District of Florida

Case No.

TAXWISE PROGRESSIVE LLC

REGISTERED AGENT:

KOTUN, SHEFIUDEEN
17325 NW 27TH AVE
205
MIAMI GARDENS, FL 33056

VIA PROCESS SERVER

CLEARVIEW SHOPS, LLC

REGISTERED AGENT:

CASTILLO, MAYDELIN
17325 NW 27 AVENUE
MIAMI GARDENS, FL 33056

VIA PROCESS SERVER